1 2	Kenneth A. Gallo (pro hac vice) Paul D. Brachman (pro hac vice) PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LI	LP		
3	2001 K Street, NW Washington, DC 20006-1047			
4	Telephone: (202) 223-7300 Facsimile: (202) 204-7420			
5	Email: kgallo@paulweiss.com Email: pbrachman@paulweiss.com			
6 7	William B. Michael (pro hac vice) Crystal L. Parker (pro hac vice) Daniel A. Crane (pro hac vice)			
8	PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP 1285 Avenue of the Americas			
9	New York, NY 10019-6064 Telephone: (212) 373-3000			
	Facsimile: (212) 757-3990			
10	Email: wmichael@paulweiss.com Email: cparker@paulweiss.com			
11	Email: dcrane@paulweiss.com			
12	Joshua Hill Jr. (SBN 250842) PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LI	LP		
13	535 Mission Street, 24th Floor San Francisco, CA 94105			
14	Telephone: (628) 432-5100 Facsimile: (628) 232-3101			
1516	Email: jhill@paulweiss.com Attorneys for Defendant Intuitive Surgical, Inc. [Additional counsel listed on signature page]			
17	UNITED STATES DIST	TRICT COURT		
18	NORTHERN DISTRICT (OF CALIFORNIA		
19	SAN FRANCISCO	DIVISION		
20				
21	SURGICAL INSTRUMENT SERVICE COMPANY, INC.,	Case No. 3:21-cv-03496-AMO		
22	Plaintiff,	DEFENDANT'S PROPOSED JURY VERDICT FORM		
23	v.	The Honorable Araceli Martínez-Olguír		
24	INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC.,			
25	Defendant.			
26				
27				
28				

Pursuant to the Court's order on the record, as reflected in the Minute Entry for January 22, 2025, Dkt. 428, Defendant Intuitive Surgical, Inc. ("Intuitive") hereby submits its proposed jury verdict form. The evidence presented at trial does not require any modifications to the proposed jury verdict form that Intuitive previously submitted, Dkt. 276.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

¹ Throughout this draft verdict form, placeholders have been left for references to particular jury instructions, pending finalization from the Court as to the instruction numbering.

1	Question 4			
2	Did Intuitive prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, a business justification for the alleged			
3	tying arrangement, according to Instruction of the Court's Jury Instructions?			
4	Yes No			
5	If you answered "Yes" to Question 4, then SIS has not proved its tying claim and you are			
6	finished with your deliberations with respect to this claim. If you answered "No" to <u>Question 4</u> , then you must address SIS's injury and damages in <u>Question 9</u> and <u>Question</u>			
7	10. Either way, you must first proceed to Question 5 to begin your deliberations regarding SIS's exclusive dealing claim.			
8				
9	Question 5			
10	Did SIS prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, all of the elements of an unlawful exclusive dealing arrangement, according to the elements set forth in Instructions of the Court's Jury			
11	Instructions?			
12	Yes No			
13	If you answered "No" to <u>Question 5</u> , then SIS has not proved its exclusive dealing claim			
14 15	and you are finished with your deliberations with respect to this claim. If you answered "Yes" to <u>Question 5</u> , then you must address SIS's injury and damages in <u>Question 8</u> and <u>Question 9</u> . Either way, you must first proceed to <u>Question 6</u> to begin your deliberations			
16				
17	regarding SIS's monopolization claim.			
18	Ouestion 6			
19	Did SIS prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, all of the elements of unlawful			
20	monopolization, according to the elements set forth in Instructions of the Court's Jury Instructions?			
21				
22	Yes No			
23	If you answered "No" to <u>Question 6</u> , then SIS has not proved its monopolization claim and you are finished with your deliberations with respect to this claim. If you answered "Yes"			
24	to <u>Question 6</u> , then you must address SIS's injury and damages in <u>Question 8</u> and <u>Question 9</u> . Either way, you must first proceed to <u>Question 7</u> to begin your deliberations regarding SIS's attempted monopolization claim.			
25				
26				
27				
28				
	- 3 -			
- 1				

1	Question 7			
2	Did SIS prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, all of the elements of unlawful attempted monopolization, according to the elements set forth in Instructions of the Court's Jury Instructions?			
3				
4				
5	Yes No			
6	answered "Yes" to Question 7, then you must address SIS's injury and damages in			
7				
8	Question 8 and Question 9.			
9	Question 8			
10				
11	any of its claims, then you are finished with you deliberations with respect to SIS's claims and you must proceed to <u>Question 10</u> to begin your deliberations regarding Intuitive's			
12	claims. Otherwise, you must answer this Question.			
13	Did SIS prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, all of the elements of injury and causation,			
14				
15	Yes No			
16	6			
17	If you answered "No" to <u>Question 8</u> , then SIS has not proved any of its claims and you are finished with your deliberations with respect to SIS's claims; you must proceed to <u>Question</u>			
18	10 to begin your deliberations regarding Intuitive's claims. If you answered "Yes" to Question 8, then you must go on to answer Question 9.			
19				
20	Question 9			
21	What amount, if any, do you award to SIS as compensatory damages for its claims, in accord with Instructions of the Court's Jury Instructions?			
22	with instructions of the Court's sury instructions.			
23				
24	You have now completed your deliberations with respect to SIS's claims. You must now			
25	proceed to <u>Question 10</u> to begin your deliberations regarding Intuitive's claims.			
26				
27				
28				
	A			

1	<u>INTUITIVE'S CLAIMS</u>			
2	Question 10			
3	Did Intuitive prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, all of the elements of unfair competition and false advertising under the Lanham Act, according to Instruction of the Court's Jury Instructions?			
5				
6	Yes No			
7	If you answered "No" to <u>Question 10</u> , then Intuitive has not proved its claim for unfair competition and false advertising under the Lanham Act, and you are finished with your			
8	deliberations with respect to this claim. If you answered "Yes" to <u>Question 10</u> , then you must address Intuitive's damages in <u>Question 13</u> . Either way, you must proceed to <u>Question 11</u> to begin your deliberations regarding Intuitive's claim for unfair competition.			
10	<u>question 11</u> to a sgin jour donations regarding invarious seminator dimensions			
11	Question 11			
12	Did Intuitive prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, all of the elements of unfair			
13	competition, according to the elements set forth in Instructions of the Court's Jury Instructions?			
14	Yes No			
15				
16	claim and you are finished with your deliberations with respect to this claim. If you			
17	answered "Yes" to <u>Question 11</u> , then you must address Intuitive's damages in <u>Question 13</u> . Either way, you must first proceed to <u>Question 12</u> to begin your deliberations regarding			
18	Intuitive's tortious interference with contract claim.			
19	Question 12			
20	Did Intuitive prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, all of the elements of tortious			
21	interference with contract, according to the elements set forth in Instructions of the Court's			
22	Jury Instructions?			
23	Yes No			
24	If you answered "No" to Question 12, then Intuitive has not proved its tortious interference			
25	with contract claim and you are finished with your deliberations with respect to this claim.			
26	in Question 13.			
27				
28				
20	_			
	- 5 -			

1	Question 14		
2	If your answers to the prior Questions have resulted in a finding that Intuitive has not		
3	proved any of its claims, then you are finished with you deliberations with respect to Intuitive's claims. Otherwise, you must answer this Question.		
4			
5	What amount, if any, do you award to Intuitive as compensatory damages for its claims, in accord with Instructions of the Court's Jury Instructions?		
6			
7			
8	You have now completed your deliberations with respect to Intuitive's claims.		
9			
10	The Foreperson should sign and date this Verdict Form and inform the Court Security Officer that a unanimous verdict has been reached.		
11			
12			
13	Dated: By: Jury Foreperson		
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20 21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
_0			
	- 6 -		

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE On January 23, 2025, I caused a copy of Defendant's Proposed Jury Verdict Form to be electronically filed via the Court's Electronic Case Filing System, which pursuant to the Court's order of September 29, 2008, constitutes service in this action on counsel of record for Surgical Instrument Service Company, Inc. Dated: January 23, 2025 By: /s/ Kenneth A. Gallo Kenneth A. Gallo